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## SECTION H: Nutrition
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## Section Highlights

- Stunting (low height for age) was the most prevalent indicator of malnutrition, with $43 \%$ of the under-five population categorized in the moderate to severe range.
- Less than $17 \%$ children under the age of five were reported to be underweight (low weight for age).
- Boys under the age of five were more likely to experience stunting and be underweight than girls in this age group.
- A higher proportion of children in female-headed households experienced stunting ( $46 \%$ versus $42 \%$ in male-headed households) and were underweight ( $19 \%$ versus $16 \%$ in male-headed households).
- Children under the age of five in agricultural households were more likely to experience stunting and be underweight than children in non-agricultural households in the same age group.
- The proportion of under-five children suffering malnutrition differed by zone, with the proportion of stunted children ranging from $31 \%$ in Zanzibar to $52 \%$ in the Southern Highlands.


## Nutrition: Malnutrition

Measures of malnutrition for children under-five were calculated using LSMS data on sex, age, weight, and height and the World Health Organization (WHO) Child growth standards. Table 1 shows the proportion of the population across Tanzania under-five years old who suffered from stunting, were underweight, wasting, had low body mass index (BMI) for age, and who were overweight. ${ }^{1}$

Table 1: Proportion of Children under Five Years Old Suffering from Malnutrition

| Indicator | Definition | Proportion of under-five population, moderate to severe * | Proportion of underfive population, severe** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stunting | Low height for age | 43\% | 17.4\% |
| Underweight | Low weight for age | 16.4\% | 3.6\% |
| Wasting | Low weight for height | 2.8\% | 0.8\% |
| Low BMI for age |  | 2.7\% | 0.8\% |
| Overweight | High weight for height | 4.6\%*** | 1.0\%*** |
| *Below -2 standard deviations of the NCHS/WHO international reference population **Below -3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population ***Proportion above +2 and +3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international |  |  |  |

Stunting was the most prevalent indicator of malnutrition, affecting over $40 \%$ of the under-five population. Wasting and low BMI for age were the least prevalent, observed in less than $3 \%$ of the under-five population. About $0.8 \%$ of the under-five population suffered from severe acute malnutrition (SAM), using the recommended cut-off of the WHO. ${ }^{2}$ These figures differ slightly from the numbers reported in Tanzania's Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Progress Report in 2008. That report estimated the proportion of under-five underweight to be higher than LSMS observations ( $22 \%$ compared to $16.4 \%$ ) and the proportion of under-five stunted to be lower ( $38 \%$ compared to $43 \%$ ). One possible explanation for these differences is the MDG calculations only include figures for mainland Tanzania, whereas the LSMS data additionally include observations for Zanzibar. ${ }^{3}$

Figure 1 shows the proportion of children from newborns to 60 months that suffered from each of the five key malnutrition indicators. Estimations are presented across six age categories measured in months: 0 to 5,6 to 11,12 to 23,24 to 35,36 to 47 , and 48 to 60 . Most indicators of malnutrition were less prevalent among infants (defined as children 0 to 5 months old). For example, only $30 \%$ of the population of infants suffered from moderate to severe stunting, compared to $53 \%$ of children aged 24 to 35 months. An estimated $8 \%$ of infants were underweight compared to $19 \%$ of children aged 36 to 47 months. One exception, however, was that a greater proportion of infants were overweight ( $27 \%$ ) compared to all other age categories. The next highest proportion was among 6 to 11 month olds ( $7 \%$ ). The high prevalence of overweight infants seems unlikely and will be investigated in future LSMS analysis.

[^0]See $\operatorname{Appendix} A$ for greater detail on the proportion of children, by age category, who suffered severe and moderate to severe malnutrition symptoms.

Figure 1: Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Moderate to Severe Malnutrition by Age


Questions sbq2, sbq4, suq1, suq2, suq4, suq5, suq6

## Nutrition: Variation across Agricultural and Non-Agricultural Households

A higher proportion of children from agricultural households suffered from stunting and were underweight than children from non-agricultural households, as illustrated in Figure 2 below. They also were slightly more likely to have a low BMI for age, although the difference was not statistically significant. A slightly higher proportion of children from non-agricultural households were overweight, although this difference was also not statistically significant. Appendix $B$ includes confidence intervals and Wald test results for the comparison of agricultural and non-agricultural households.

Figure 2: Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Malnutrition, by Agricultural and NonAgricultural Households

***Statistically significant at the .01 level
Questions sbq2, sbq4, suq1, suq2, suq4, suq5, suq6

## Nutrition: Variation by Gender

Figure 3 shows the proportion of boys and girls under five years old that suffered from each of the malnutrition indicators. Boys were slightly more likely to experience stunting and be underweight compared to girls. See Appendix $C$ for a detailed overview of differences by gender of child and age category.

Figure 3: Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Malnutrition, by Gender of Child


Questions sbq2, sbq4, suq1, suq2, suq4, suq5, suq6
As shown in Figure 4 a higher proportion of children from female-headed households exhibited each of the indicators of malnutrition as compared to male-headed households. However, none of these differences were statistically significant.

Figure 4: Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Malnutrition, by Gender of Household Head


Questions sbq2, sbq4, suq1, suq2, suq4, suq5, suq6

## Nutrition: Zonal Analysis

Figures 5 through 9 show the proportion of children under-five that exhibited each of the five key indicators of malnutrition. Proportions are based on observations from both agricultural and non-agricultural households. See Appendix $D$ for sample sizes, confidence intervals, and Wald test p-values for each indicator by zone.

As shown in Figure 5 the proportion of children under-five who suffered from moderate to severe stunting varied greatly by zone, ranging from $31 \%$ in Zanzibar ( $n=223$ ) to $52 \%$ in the Southern Highlands ( $n=240$ ). These differences were statistically significant. ${ }^{4}$

Figure 5: Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering Moderate to Severe Stunting by Zone***

*** F-test shows statistically significant variation between zones at the .01 level
Differences in the proportion of children who were moderately to severely underweight were not statistically significant across administrative zones, as evidenced in Figure 6. The proportion of children suffering from low weight for age was highest in the Southern zone ( $21 \%, 47$ out of 232 ). The Western zone had the lowest proportion of children under-five who were moderately to severely underweight (56 out of 368, or 15\%).

[^1]Figure 6: Proportion of Under-five Population Moderately to Severely Underweight, by Zone


Questions sbq2, sbq4, suq1, suq2, suq4, suq5, suq6

Children in Zanzibar were more likely to suffer from wasting compared to other zones ( 25 out of 370 , or $7 \%$ ), as shown in Figure 7. Very few observations of wasting existed among children living in the Central zone (2 out of 124 , or $1 \%$ ).

Figure 7: Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Moderate to Severe Wasting, by Zone


Questions sbq2, sbq4, suq1, suq2, suq4, suq5, suq6

Each administrative zone had less than 20 observations of children with moderate to severely low BMI for their age; however, the difference in proportions across administrative zones was statistically significant (Figure 8). Additional details on confidence intervals and Wald test p-values are provided in Appendix $D$.

Figure 8: Proportion of Under-five Population with Moderate to Severely Low BMI for Age, by Zone*


* F-test shows statistically significant variation between zones at the . 10 level

Questions sbq2, sbq4, suq1, suq2, suq4, suq5, suq6

The estimated proportion of the under-five population that was moderately to severely overweight was less than $10 \%$ within each administrative zone, as displayed in Figure 9. Despite the low proportions, the difference in proportions was significant. The Southern Highlands had the greatest proportion of children who were overweight $(7 \%, 17$ out of 262 ) compared to the Central zone, which had the lowest overall proportion ( $2 \%$, 2 out of 124 ).

Figure 9: Proportion of Under-five Population Moderately to Severely Overweight, by Zone*


* F-test shows statistically significant variation between zones at the 10 level

The zonal variation may capture differences in the urban and rural make-up of each zone as well as geographical variation. Therefore future analysis could examine malnutrition by zone of only children in agricultural households.
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## Appendix A Malnutrition by Age and Indicator

Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering Moderate to Severe Stunting

| Age (months) | Proportion Severe** | 95\% C.I. | Proportion Moderate to Severe * | 95\% C.I. | Estimated <br> Population Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0-60) | 17.4\% | [17.4\%, 17.4\%] | 43\% | [ $42.9 \%$, 43\%] | 5,218,678 |
| (0-5) | 17.1\% | [17\%, 17.3\%] | 29.5\% | [ $29.4 \%, 29.7 \%$ ] | 304,769 |
| (6-11) | 16.1\% | [ $16 \%, 16.2 \%$ ] | $32 \%$ | [ $31.9 \%$, 32.2\%] | 487,845 |
| (12-23) | 20.3\% | [20.2\%, 20.4\%] | 48.4\% | [ $48.3 \%, 48.5 \%$ ] | 1,029,537 |
| (24-35) | 20.7\% | [20.6\%, 20.7\%] | 52.9\% | [ $52.8 \%, 53 \%$ ] | 1,142,541 |
| (36-47) | 17.6\% | [17.5\%, 17.7\%] | 40.5\% | [ $40.4 \%, 40.6 \%$ ] | 1,103,129 |
| (48-60) | 11.9\% | [11.9\%, 12\%] | 38.8\% | [38.7\%, 38.9\%] | 1,150,858 |

*Below -2 standard deviations of the NCHS/WHO international reference population
**Below -3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population

Proportion of Under-five Population Moderately to Severely Underweight

| Age (months) | Proportion Severe** | 95\% C.I. | Proportion <br> Moderate to Severe * | 95\% C.I. | Estimated Population Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0-60) | 3.6\% | [3.6\%, 3.6\%] | 16.4\% | [16.3\%, 16.4\%] | 5,295,794 |
| (0-5) | 3.6\% | [3.5\%, 3.6\%] | 8.1\% | [8\%, 8.2\%] | 319,498 |
| (6-11) | 3.8\% | [ $3.8 \%, 3.9 \%$ ] | 16\% | [15.9\%, 16.1\%] | 502,403 |
| (12-23) | 3.6\% | [ $3.5 \%, 3.6 \%$ ] | 14.8\% | [ $14.7 \%, 14.8 \%$ ] | 1,043,170 |
| (24-35) | 2.5\% | [ $2.5 \%, 2.6 \%$ ] | 16.3\% | [16.2\%, 16.4\%] | 1,151,046 |
| (36-47) | 3.7\% | [3.7\%, 3.8\%] | 19.1\% | [19\%, 19.1\%] | 1,110,127 |
| (48-60) | 4.6\% | [ $4.6 \%, 4.6 \%$ ] | 17.7\% | [17.6\%, 17.7\%] | 1,169,550 |

Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Moderate to Severe Wasting

|  | Proportion <br> Severe** | 95\% C.I. | Proportion <br> Moderate to <br> Severe * | 95\% C.I. | Estimated <br> Population <br> Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(0-60)$ | $0.8 \%$ | $[0.8 \%, 0.8 \%]$ | $2.8 \%$ | $[2.8 \%, 2.9 \%]$ | $5,801,818$ |
| $(0-5)$ | $2.9 \%$ | $[2.8 \%, 2.9 \%]$ | $5.7 \%$ | $[5.6 \%, 5.8 \%]$ | 299,283 |
| $(6-11)$ | $2.5 \%$ | $[2.4 \%, 2.5 \%]$ | $6.0 \%$ | $[6 \%, 6.1 \%]$ | 484,883 |
| $(12-23)$ | $1.0 \%$ | $[1 \%, 1.1 \%]$ | $2.5 \%$ | $[2.5 \%, 2.5 \%]$ | $1,032,834$ |
| $(24-35)$ | $0.1 \%$ | $[0.1 \%, 0.1 \%]$ | $1.9 \%$ | $[1.8 \%, 1.9 \%]$ | $1,145,310$ |
| $(36-47)$ | $0.6 \%$ | $[0.6 \%, 0.6 \%]$ | $2.8 \%$ | $[2.7 \%, 2.8 \%]$ | $1,101,295$ |
| $(48-60)$ | $0.4 \%$ | $[0.4 \%, 0.4 \%]$ | $2.4 \%$ | $[2.4 \%, 2.4 \%]$ | $1,161,551$ |

*Below -2 standard deviations of the NCHS/WHO international reference population
**Below -3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population

Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Moderate to Severely Low BMI for Age

|  | Proportion <br> Severe** | $95 \%$ C.I. | Proportion <br> Moderate to <br> Severe * | 95\% C.I. | Estimated Population <br> Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(0-60)$ | $0.8 \%$ | $[0.8 \%, 0.8 \%]$ | $2.7 \%$ | $[2.7 \%, 2.7 \%]$ | $5,254,144$ |
| $(0-5)$ | $3.8 \%$ | $[3.8 \%, 3.9 \%]$ | $5.4 \%$ | $[5.3 \%, 5.5 \%]$ | 305,588 |
| $(6-11)$ | $2.4 \%$ | $[2.4 \%, 2.5 \%]$ | $9 \%$ | $[8.9 \%, 9.1 \%]$ | 493,209 |
| $(12-23)$ | $0.8 \%$ | $[0.7 \%, 0.8 \%]$ | $1.9 \%$ | $[1.9 \%, 1.9 \%]$ | $1,037,834$ |
| $(24-35)$ | $0 \%$ | $[0 \%, 0.1 \%]$ | $1.5 \%$ | $[1.4 \%, 1.5 \%]$ | $1,142,542$ |
| $(36-47)$ | $0.6 \%$ | $[0.5 \%, 0.6 \%]$ | $1.9 \%$ | $[1.9 \%, 2 \%]$ | $1,110,052$ |
| $(48-60)$ | $0.3 \%$ | $[0.3 \%, 0.3 \%]$ | $1.9 \%$ | $[1.8 \%, 1.9 \%]$ | $1,164,919$ |

*Below -2 standard deviations of the NCHS/WHO international reference population
**Below -3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population

Proportion of Under-five Population Moderately to Severely Overweight

| Age (months) | Proportion Severe** | 95\% C.I. | Proportion Moderate to Severe * | 95\% C.I. | Estimated Population Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0-60) | 1\% | [1\%, 1.1\%] | 4.6\% | [4.5\%, 4.6\%] | 5,801,818 |
| (0-5) | 6.2\% | [6.1\%, 6.3\%] | 26.5\% | [26.3\%, 26.7\%] | 299,283 |
| (6-11) | 3.3\% | [3.2\%, 3.3\%] | 7.2\% | [7.1\%, 7.3\%] | 484,883 |
| (12-23) | 0.8\% | [0.8\%, 0.9\%] | 3.8\% | [3.8\%, 3.9\%] | 1,032,834 |
| (24-35) | 0.7\% | [0.7\%, 0.7\%] | 3.8\% | [3.7\%, 3.8\%] | 1,145,310 |
| (36-47) | 0.3\% | [0.3\%, 0.3\%] | 3.8\% | [ $3.8 \%, 3.8 \%$ ] | 1,101,295 |
| (48-60) | 0.2\% | [0.2\%, 0.2\%] | 1.6\% | [1.6\%, 1.6\%] | 1,161,551 |
| **Proportion above +3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix B Malnutrition by Gender and Agricultural versus Non-Agricultural Household

Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Malnutrition by Male- and Female-Headed Households

|  | Household <br> Head | Estimated <br> Proportion | $95 \%$ C.I. | Observations | Wald test <br> P-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stunting | Male | $42 \%$ | $[39 \%, 46 \%]$ | 712 | 0.301 |
|  | Female | $46 \%$ | $[39 \%, 53 \%]$ | 152 |  |
| Underweight | Male | $16 \%$ | $[14 \%, 18 \%]$ | 284 | 0.3523 |
|  | Female | $19 \%$ | $[13 \%, 24 \%]$ | 63 |  |
| Wasting | Male | $3 \%$ | $[2 \%, 3 \%]$ | 62 | 0.3465 |
|  | Female | $4 \%$ | $[2 \%, 6 \%]$ | 19 |  |
| Low BMI for age | Male | $3 \%$ | $[2 \%, 3 \%]$ | 48 | 0.6446 |
|  | Female | $3 \%$ | $[1 \%, 5 \%]$ | 14 |  |
| Overweight | Male | $4 \%$ | $[3 \%, 6 \%]$ | 87 | 0.7025 |
|  | Female | $5 \%$ | $[3 \%, 7 \%]$ | 20 |  |

Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Malnutrition by Non-Ag and Agricultural Households

|  |  | Estimated Proportion | 95\% C.I. | Observations | Wald test P-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stunting | Non-Ag Households | 33\% | [27\%, 40\%] | 114 | 0.0048 |
|  | Ag Households | 44\% | [ $41 \%, 47 \%$ ] | 750 |  |
| Underweight | Non-Ag Households | 11\% | [7\%, 15\%] | 48 | 0.0077 |
|  | Ag Households | 17\% | [15\%, 19\%] | 299 |  |
| Wasting | Non-Ag Households | 3\% | [ $1 \%, 5 \%$ ] | 13 | 0.9232 |
|  | Ag Households | 3\% | [2\%, 4\%] | 68 |  |
| Low BMI for age | Non-Ag Households | 2\% | [ $0 \%$, 3\%] | 9 | 0.1678 |
|  | Ag Households | 3\% | [ $2 \%, 4 \%$ ] | 53 |  |
| Overweight | Non-Ag Households | 6\% | [ $3 \%, 8 \%$ ] | 22 | 0.4346 |
|  | Ag Households | 4\% | [ $3 \%$, 6\%] | 85 |  |

## Appendix C Malnutrition by Gender of Child

Proportion of Under-five Population Suffering from Moderate to Severe Stunting, by Gender of Child and Age Category

| Age (months) | Gender of Child | Proportion Severe** | 95\% C.I. | Proportion <br> Moderate <br> to Severe * | 95\% C.I. | Estimated <br> Population Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0-5) | Boys | 22.2\% | [22\%, 22.4\%] | 32.1\% | [31.8\%, 32.3\%] | 142,918 |
|  | Girls | 12.7\% | [12.5\%, 12.8\%] | 27.3\% | [27.1\%, 27.6\%] | 161,851 |
| (6-11) | Boys | 23.7\% | [23.5\%, 23.9\%] | 42.4\% | [ $42.2 \%, 42.6 \%$ ] | 241,023 |
|  | Girls | 8.7\% | [8.6\%, $8.8 \%$ ] | 22\% | [21.8\%, 22.1\%] | 246,821 |
| (12-23) | Boys | 23.9\% | [23.8\%, 24\%] | 53.5\% | [ $53.4 \%, 53.6 \%$ ] | 496,310 |
|  | Girls | 17\% | [16.9\%, 17.1\%] | 43.7\% | [ $43.5 \%, 43.8 \%$ ] | 533,227 |
| (24-35) | Boys | 20\% | [19.9\%, 20.1\%] | 52.1\% | [ $51.9 \%$, 52.2\%] | 552,082 |
|  | Girls | 21.3\% | [21.2\%, 21.4\%] | 53.7\% | [ $53.5 \%, 53.8 \%$ ] | 590,459 |
| (36-47) | Boys | 17.8\% | [17.7\%, 17.9\%] | 43.6\% | [ $43.5 \%, 43.7 \%$ ] | 507,944 |
|  | Girls | 17.4\% | [17.3\%, 17.5\%] | 37.9\% | [37.7\%, 38\%] | 595,185 |
| (48-60) | Boys | 12.8\% | [12.7\%, 12.8\%] | 38.5\% | [38.4\%, 38.7\%] | 566,032 |
|  | Girls | 11.2\% | [11.1\%, 11.2\%] | 39\% | [ $38.9 \%, 39.1 \%$ ] | 584,826 |
| (0-60) | Boys | 19.2\% | [19.1\%, 19.2\%] | 45.5\% | [ $45.4 \%, 45.6 \%$ ] | 2,506,309 |
|  | Girls | 15.7\% | [ $15.7 \%, 15.8 \%$ ] | 40.6\% | [ $40.6 \%, 40.7 \%$ ] | 2,712,369 |

Proportion of Under-five Population Moderately to Severely Underweight, by Gender of Child and Age Category

| Age <br> (months) | Gender of <br> Child | Proportion <br> Severe** | $95 \%$ C.I. | Proportion <br> Moderate <br> to Severe * | $95 \%$ C.I. | Estimated <br> Population Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(0-5)$ | Boys | $2.5 \%$ | $[2.5 \%, 2.6 \%]$ | $4 \%$ | $[3.9 \%, 4.1 \%]$ | 147,385 |
|  | Girls | $4.4 \%$ | $[4.3 \%, 4.5 \%]$ | $11.6 \%$ | $[11.4 \%, 11.7 \%]$ | 172,113 |
| $(6-11)$ | Boys | $7.3 \%$ | $[7.2 \%, 7.4 \%]$ | $14.8 \%$ | $[14.6 \%, 14.9 \%]$ | 247,817 |
|  | Girls | $0.4 \%$ | $[0.4 \%, 0.4 \%]$ | $17.2 \%$ | $[17.1 \%, 17.4 \%]$ | 254,586 |
| $(12-23)$ | Boys | $4.7 \%$ | $[4.7 \%, 4.8 \%]$ | $17.6 \%$ | $[17.5 \%, 17.7 \%]$ | 505,866 |
|  | Girls | $2.5 \%$ | $[2.4 \%, 2.5 \%]$ | $12.1 \%$ | $[12 \%, 12.2 \%]$ | 537,304 |
| $(24-35)$ | Boys | $2.4 \%$ | $[2.4 \%, 2.4 \%]$ | $16.5 \%$ | $[16.4 \%, 16.6 \%]$ | 557,670 |
|  | Girls | $2.7 \%$ | $[2.6 \%, 2.7 \%]$ | $16.1 \%$ | $[16 \%, 16.2 \%]$ | 593,376 |
| $(36-47)$ | Boys | $5.1 \%$ | $[5 \%, 5.2 \%]$ | $19.9 \%$ | $[19.8 \%, 20 \%]$ | 508,598 |
|  | Girls | $2.6 \%$ | $[2.6 \%, 2.6 \%]$ | $18.3 \%$ | $[18.2 \%, 18.4 \%]$ | 601,529 |
| $(48-60)$ | Boys | $4.6 \%$ | $[4.5 \%, 4.6 \%]$ | $18.9 \%$ | $[18.8 \%, 19 \%]$ | 575,421 |
|  | Girls | $4.6 \%$ | $[4.5 \%, 4.7 \%]$ | $16.5 \%$ | $[16.4 \%, 16.6 \%]$ | 594,129 |
| $(0-60)$ | Boys | $4.4 \%$ | $[4.4 \%, 4.4 \%]$ | $17 \%$ | $[17 \%, 17.1 \%]$ | $2,542,756$ |
|  | Girls | $2.9 \%$ | $[2.9 \%, 3 \%]$ | $15.7 \%$ | $[15.7 \%, 15.8 \%]$ | $2,753,037$ |

*Below -2 standard deviations of the NCHS/WHO international reference population
**Below -3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population

Proportion of Under-five Population Moderately to Severely Wasting, by Gender of Child and Age Category

| Age <br> (months) | Gender of <br> Child | Proportion <br> Severe** | 95\% C.I. | Proportion <br> Moderate to <br> Severe $*$ | 95\% C.I. | Estimated <br> Population Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(0-5)$ | Boys | $2.8 \%$ | $[2.7 \%, 2.9 \%]$ | $2.8 \%$ | $[2.7 \%, 2.9 \%]$ | 134,816 |
|  | Girls | $2.9 \%$ | $[2.8 \%, 3 \%]$ | $8.1 \%$ | $[8 \%, 8.3 \%]$ | 164,467 |
| $(6-11)$ | Boys | $1.7 \%$ | $[1.7 \%, 1.8 \%]$ | $7.5 \%$ | $[7.4 \%, 7.6 \%]$ | 237,246 |
|  | Girls | $3.2 \%$ | $[3.1 \%, 3.2 \%]$ | $4.6 \%$ | $[4.6 \%, 4.7 \%]$ | 247,637 |
| $(12-23)$ | Boys | $0.6 \%$ | $[0.6 \%, 0.6 \%]$ | $2.1 \%$ | $[2 \%, 2.1 \%]$ | 496,668 |
|  | Girls | $1.5 \%$ | $[1.4 \%, 1.5 \%]$ | $2.9 \%$ | $[2.9 \%, 2.9 \%]$ | 536,165 |
| $(24-35)$ | Boys | $0 \%$ | $[0 \%, 0 \%]$ | $2.5 \%$ | $[2.5 \%, 2.6 \%]$ | 554,851 |
|  | Girls | $0.2 \%$ | $[0.2 \%, 0.2 \%]$ | $1.3 \%$ | $[1.2 \%, 1.3 \%]$ | 590,459 |
| $(36-47)$ | Boys | $0.9 \%$ | $[0.9 \%, 0.9 \%]$ | $2.8 \%$ | $[2.8 \%, 2.9 \%]$ | 506,110 |
|  | Girls | $0.3 \%$ | $[0.3 \%, 0.3 \%]$ | $2.7 \%$ | $[2.7 \%, 2.8 \%]$ | 595,185 |
| $(48-60)$ | Boys | $0.5 \%$ | $[0.5 \%, 0.6 \%]$ | $1.5 \%$ | $[1.5 \%, 1.6 \%]$ | 571,810 |
|  | Girls | $0.3 \%$ | $[0.3 \%, 0.4 \%]$ | $3.2 \%$ | $[3.2 \%, 3.3 \%]$ | 589,741 |
|  | Boys | $0.7 \%$ | $[0.7 \%, 0.7 \%]$ | $2.8 \%$ | $[2.7 \%, 2.8 \%]$ | $2,770,114$ |
| $(0-60)$ | Girls | $0.9 \%$ | $[0.9 \%, 0.9 \%]$ | $2.9 \%$ | $[2.9 \%, 2.9 \%]$ | $3,031,704$ |

*Below -2 standard deviations of the NCHS/WHO international reference population
**Below -3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population

Proportion of Under-five Population with Moderately to Severely Low BMI for Age, by Gender of Child and Age Category

| Age (months) | Gender of Child | Proportion Severe** | 95\% C.I. | Proportion Moderate to Severe * | 95\% C.I. | Estimated <br> Population Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0-5) | Boys | 0\% | [ $0 \%, 0 \%$ ] | 0\% | [ $0 \%$, $0 \%$ ] | 133,908 |
|  | Girls | 6.8\% | [6.7\%, 7\%] | 9.7\% | [ $9.5 \%, 9.8 \%$ ] | 171,680 |
| (6-11) | Boys | 0.5\% | [ $0.5 \%, 0.5 \%$ ] | 1.9\% | [1.8\%, 1.9\%] | 2,513,031 |
|  | Girls | 1.1\% | [1.1\%, 1.1\%] | 3.4\% | [3.4\%, 3.4\%] | 2,741,113 |
| (12-23) | Boys | 0\% | [ $0 \%$, $0 \%$ ] | 0.7\% | [ $0.7 \%, 0.7 \%$ ] | 497,809 |
|  | Girls | 1.5\% | [1.4\%, 1.5\%] | 3\% | [ $3 \%, 3.1 \%$ ] | 540,025 |
| (24-35) | Boys | 0\% | [ $0 \%$, 0\% $]$ | 1.3\% | [1.3\%, 1.3\%] | 553,221 |
|  | Girls | 0.1\% | [ $0.1 \%, 0.1 \%$ ] | 1.6\% | [1.6\%, 1.7\%] | 589,320 |
| (36-47) | Boys | 0.9\% | [ $0.9 \%, 0.9 \%$ ] | 1\% | [1\%, 1.1\%] | 508,523 |
|  | Girls | 0.3\% | [ $0.3 \%, 0.3 \%$ ] | 2.7\% | [2.7\%, 2.8\%] | 601,529 |
| (48-60) | Boys | 0.5\% | [0.5\%, $0.5 \%$ ] | 0.5\% | [0.5\%, $0.5 \%$ ] | 575,178 |
|  | Girls | 0\% | [ $0 \%$, $0 \%$ ] | 3.2\% | [3.1\%, 3.2\%] | 589,741 |
| (0-60) | Boys | 1.7\% | [1.6\%, 1.7\%] | 11.5\% | [11.4\%, 11.6\%] | 244,392 |
|  | Girls | 3.1\% | [3.1\%, 3.2\%] | 6.6\% | [ $6.5 \%, 6.7 \%$ ] | 248,818 |

*Below -2 standard deviations of the NCHS/WHO international reference population
**Below -3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population

Proportion of Under-five Population Moderately to Severely Overweight, by Gender of Child and Age Category

| Age <br> (months) | Gender of <br> Child | Proportio <br> n Severe** | 95\% C.I. |  | Proportion <br> Moderate to <br> Severe * |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(0-5)$ | Boys | $13.7 \%$ | $[13.5 \%, 13.9 \%]$ | $36 \%$ | Estimated <br> Population <br> Size |
|  | Girls | $0.0 \%$ | $[0 \%, 0 \%]$ | $[36 \%, 36.5 \%]$ | 134,816 |
| $(6-11)$ | Boys | $2.9 \%$ | $[2.9 \%, 3 \%]$ | $8 \%$ | $[19 \%$ |

**Proportion above +3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population
*Proportion above +2 and +3 standard deviations of the NCHS/ WHO international reference population

| Appendix D Malnutrition by Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicators of Malnutrition by Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimated Proportion | 95\% C.I. | Observations | Wald test <br> P -value |
| Stunting | Southern Highlands | 52.1\% | [44.7\%, 59.6\%] | 123 out of 240 | 0.0057 |
|  | Southern | 47.3\% | [39.6\%, 55\%] | 108 out of 226 |  |
|  | Northern | 44.3\% | [37.1\%, 51.4\%] | 124 out of 281 |  |
|  | Western | 43.7\% | [37.3\%, 50.1\%] | 154 out of 358 |  |
|  | Central | 41.3\% | [31.3\%, 51.4\%] | 49 out of 119 |  |
|  | Eastern | 38.8\% | [31.3\%, 46.3\%] | 131 out of 348 |  |
|  | Lake | 37.9\% | [ $30 \%$, 45.9\%] | 106 out of 269 |  |
|  | Zanzibar | 30.7\% | [ $23.4 \%, 38 \%$ ] | 69 out of 223 |  |
| Underweight | Southern | 20.7\% | [14.6\%, 26.7\%] | 47 out of 232 | 0.7325 |
|  | Zanzibar | 19.6\% | [13.6\%, 25.6\%] | 47 out of 232 |  |
|  | Eastern | 17.8\% | [11.3\%, 24.2\%] | 48 out of 353 |  |
|  | Northern | 17.7\% | [11.8\%, 23.6\%] | 51 out of 285 |  |
|  | Southern Highlands | 15.7\% | [11.5\%, 19.8\%] | 38 out of 245 |  |
|  | Lake | 15.6\% | [10.7\%, 20.5\%] | 42 out of 270 |  |
|  | Central | 15.2\% | [7.8\%, 22.5\%] | 18 out of 120 |  |
|  | Western | 14.7\% | [11\%, 18.4\%] | 56 out of 368 |  |
| Wasting | Zanzibar | 6.9\% | [3.3\%, 10.5\%] | 25 out of 370 | 0.1248 |
|  | Southern | 4.9\% | [ $2 \%$, 7.9\%] | 11 out of 254 |  |
|  | Eastern | 4.0\% | [1.8\%, 6.2\%] | 11 out of 385 |  |
|  | Southern Highlands | 3.3\% | [ $0.9 \%, 5.7 \%$ ] | 9 out of 262 |  |
|  | Northern | 3.1\% | [1.1\%, 5.2\%] | 10 out of 309 |  |
|  | Lake | 2.1\% | [ $0.6 \%, 3.6 \%$ ] | 6 out of 301 |  |
|  | Western | 1.9\% | [ $0.3 \%, 3.5 \%$ ] | 7 out of 411 |  |
|  | Central | 1.4\% | [-1.4\%, 4.2\%] | 2 out of 124 |  |
| Low BMI for age | Southern | 5.7\% | [ $2.4 \%, 9 \%$ ] | 12 out of 228 | 0.0725 |
|  | Zanzibar | 5.6\% | [1.9\%, , 9.4\%] | 14 out of 220 |  |
|  | Eastern | 3.2\% | [ $1 \%, 5.5 \%$ ] | 6 out of 349 |  |
|  | Northern | 2.8\% | [ $0.9 \%, 4.7 \%$ ] | 8 out of 283 |  |
|  | Lake | 2.7\% | [ $0.9 \%, 4.5 \%$ ] | 7 out of 270 |  |
|  | Western | 2.5\% | [ $1 \%$, 4.1\%] | 9 out of 365 |  |
|  | Southern Highlands | 1.8\% | [ $0.3 \%, 3.4 \%$ ] | 5 out of 241 |  |
|  | Central | 0.7\% | [-0.7\%, 2.2\%] | 1 out of 120 |  |


| Overweight | Southern Highlands | $6.9 \%$ | $[3.1 \%, 10.7 \%]$ | 17 out of 262 | 0.0617 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Northern | $5.6 \%$ | $[3.2 \%, 8.1 \%]$ | 17 out of 309 |  |
|  | Lake | $4.8 \%$ | $[2.1 \%, 7.6 \%]$ | 16 out of 301 |  |
|  | Southern | $4.8 \%$ | $[2.1 \%, 7.4 \%]$ | 12 out of 254 |  |
|  | Western | $4.3 \%$ | $[2.1 \%, 6.5 \%]$ | 18 out of 411 |  |
|  | Eastern | $3.2 \%$ | $[1.6 \%, 4.9 \%]$ | 16 out of 385 |  |
|  | Zanzibar | $2.1 \%$ | $[0.4 \%, 3.7 \%]$ | 9 out of 370 |  |
|  | Central | $1.6 \%$ | $[-0.6 \%, 3.9 \%]$ | 2 out of 124 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See de Onis et al., 2004 for a full description of the methodology for estimating child malnutrition.
    ${ }^{2}$ The WHO recommends a cut-off for weight-for-height of below-3 standard deviations, one of the reasons being "In a well-nourished population there are virtually no children below -3 SD ( $<1 \%$ )" (WHO and UNICEF, 2009).
    ${ }^{3}$ Tanzania Ministry of Finance \& Economic Affairs, 2008.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Significant at the .01 level, $\mathrm{p}>0.0057$.

